



Accreditation Engagement Review

February 20, 2023 - February 23, 2023

Centerville-Abington Community Schools

Institution #65022

115 W South Street
Centerville, Indiana 47330
United States of America

Accreditation Is Continuous Improvement

Cognia defines continuous improvement as "an embedded behavior rooted in an institution's culture that constantly focuses on conditions, processes, and practices to improve teaching and learning." Accreditation is a continuous improvement process that helps an institution improve teaching and learning. Using Cognia's Performance Standards, the institution examines its current effectiveness as well as its capacity and capability to achieve its vision and goals for the future.

Cognia believes all institutions can improve no matter how well they are currently performing. In the same manner that educators are expected to understand the unique needs of every learner and tailor the education experience to drive student success, every institution must be empowered

to map out and embrace their unique improvement journey. Cognia expects institutions to use the results and analyses of data from diverse sources to select and implement actions that drive improvement in education quality and student performance. Cognia recognizes that each institution's improvement journey is unique, and that we can serve you best by providing key findings specific to your institution.

Around the turn of the 21st century, accreditation transformed its focus and process from a ten-year evaluation focused on the accomplishments of an institution's past decade to a forward-focused process examining what an institution is striving to accomplish in the next five years. Modern accreditation examines the current and future

capabilities and capacities of an institution in the context of its mission, purpose and direction. The Standards for Accreditation define how a good institution behaves and provides the criteria to focus improvement efforts that will lead to growing learners, teachers, and leaders.

In reality, modern accreditation is a continuous improvement process. Every five years, the institution formally engages the Standards for Accreditation to reflect and examine its progress towards its desired future as expressed through its mission, purpose and strategic direction.

Cognia's purpose driven, strategic process is the most widely used continuous improvement process in the world.

Cognia Performance Accreditation and the Engagement Review

This report contains the findings of the Engagement Review Team (the Team). The findings of the Team are organized in five sections: Cognia Performance Standards, Observations, Assurances, Insights from the Review, and a Summary of Findings that includes Noteworthy Practices and Areas for Improvement.

Accreditation is pivotal to leveraging education quality and continuous improvement. Using a set of rigorous research-based standards, the accreditation process examines the whole institution—the program, the cultural context, and the community of stakeholders—to determine how

well the parts work together to meet the needs of learners. Through the Cognia Accreditation Process, highly skilled and trained Teams gather first-hand evidence and information pertinent to evaluating an institution's performance against research-based Cognia Performance Standards. Using these Standards, Teams assess the quality of the learning environment to gain valuable insights and target improvements in teaching and learning as well as the operation of the institution.

To build a comprehensive evaluation of your institution, our experts gain a broad understanding of institution

quality through a review of documented evidence, formal and informal observations, and community feedback. Using the Standards as a framework, the Team provides valuable guidance which will help to focus your institution's improvement journey.



Assurances

Assurances are requirements that accredited institutions must meet. The Assurance statements are based on the type of institution, and the responses are confirmed by the Accreditation Engagement Review Team. Institutions are expected to meet all Assurances and are expected to correct any deficiencies in unmet Assurances.

#	ASSURANCES	YES/NO
1.	The institution has read, understands, and complies with the Cognia Accreditation and Certification Policies and Procedures.	☑ Yes
2.	The institution complies with all applicable governmental laws or regulations.	☑ Yes
3.	The institution adheres to ethical marketing and communication practices to transparently disclose current and accurate information to the public.	☑ Yes
4.	The governing authority adheres to written policies that govern its conduct, decision making, ethics, and authority; and engages in training aligned to its roles and responsibilities.	☑ Yes
5.	The institution annually submits all financial transactions for an annual audit conducted by an accounting authority external to the institution.	☑ Yes
6.	The institution annually reviews and implements written management plans for security, crisis, safety and health for onsite and virtual environments that includes expectations, communications protocols, and training for students, staff and stakeholders.	☑ Yes
7.	The institution participates in required training related to accreditation or certification by timeframes prescribed by Cognia.	☑ Yes
8.	<p>The system executes a written quality assurance process to monitor and verify that all institutions within its jurisdiction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • meet the applicable governmental requirements of the school's location; • meet the Cognia Accreditation and Certification Policies and Procedures; • meet the Cognia Accreditation and/or Certification Standards and Assurances and • implement its required education programs with fidelity 	☑ Yes



Evaluations of Institution Analyses

Cognia expects institutions to use a systematic process to collect data and information using quality instruments, then analyze and synthesize that information to arrive at findings. From the findings, Cognia expects institutions to develop, prioritize, and implement theories of action that will sustain high performing areas and lead to improvement in underperforming areas.

Cognia requires institutions to complete analyses on selected data sources. Each analysis is evaluated using rubrics aligned to the main activities within the analysis process.

Stakeholder Feedback Analysis

CRITERION	YOUR SCORE
The institution has made an accurate appraisal of the quality of their data sources using the Evaluative Criteria.	★★★★
The institution has analyzed and synthesized information.	★★★★
The institution has identified areas of noteworthy achievement and areas in need of improvement.	★★★★
The institution has interpreted findings, prioritized themes, and developed theories of action.	★★★★

Student Performance Analysis

CRITERION	YOUR SCORE
The institution has made an accurate appraisal of the quality of their data sources using the Evaluative Criteria.	★★★★
The institution has analyzed and synthesized information.	★★★★
The institution has identified areas of noteworthy achievement and areas in need of improvement.	★★★★
The institution has interpreted findings, prioritized themes, and developed theories of action.	★★★★

Learning Environments Analysis

CRITERION	YOUR SCORE
The institution has made an accurate appraisal of the quality of their data sources using the Evaluative Criteria.	★★★★
The institution has analyzed and synthesized information.	★★★★
The institution has identified areas of noteworthy achievement and areas in need of improvement.	★★★★
The institution has interpreted findings, prioritized themes, and developed theories of action.	★★★★

Culture of Learning

CRITERION	YOUR SCORE
The narrative provides evidence for Standards related to Culture of Learning.	★★★★
The institution has analyzed and synthesized information and responded to the prompts for Culture of Learning.	★★★★
The institution has identified areas of noteworthy achievement and areas in need of improvement.	★★★★
The institution has interpreted findings, prioritized themes, and developed theories of action.	★★★★



Leadership for Learning

CRITERION	YOUR SCORE
The narrative provides evidence for Standards related to Leadership for Learning.	★★★★
The institution has analyzed and synthesized information and responded to the prompts for Leadership for Learning.	★★★★
The institution has identified areas of noteworthy achievement and areas in need of improvement.	★★★★
The institution has interpreted findings, prioritized themes, and developed theories of action.	★★★★

Engagement of Learning

CRITERION	YOUR SCORE
The narrative provides evidence for Standards related to Engagement of Learning.	★★★★
The institution has analyzed and synthesized information and responded to the prompts for Engagement of Learning.	★★★★
The institution has identified areas of noteworthy achievement and areas in need of improvement.	★★★★
The institution has interpreted findings, prioritized themes, and developed theories of action.	★★★★

Growth in Learning

CRITERION	YOUR SCORE
The narrative provides evidence for Standards related to Growth in Learning.	★★★★
The institution has analyzed and synthesized information and responded to the prompts for Growth in Learning.	★★★★
The institution has identified areas of noteworthy achievement and areas in need of improvement.	★★★★
The institution has interpreted findings, prioritized themes, and developed theories of action.	★★★★

Performance Standards Evaluation Results

Accreditation is based primarily on the evaluation of evidence that reflects an institution’s ability to meet the expectations as defined by the Cognia Performance Standards. The Performance Standards define the elements of quality that research indicates is present in an effective institution. Accreditation standards provide the guideposts to becoming a better institution. The Engagement Review evaluators apply a four-level rubric to determine the degree to which the institution demonstrates effective practices that reflect the expectations of the standard. The rubric scale is designed to indicate the current performance of the institution.

The rubric is scored from Level 4 to Level 1. Descriptions are provided in the table below.

RATING	LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
★★★★	4	Demonstrating noteworthy systematic and systemic practices producing clear results that positively impact learners.
★★★☆☆	3	Engaging in practices that provide evidence of expected effectiveness that is reflected in the standard.
★★☆☆☆	2	Developing or improving practices that provide evidence that effort approaches desired level of effectiveness.
★☆☆☆☆	1	Reflecting areas with insufficient evidence and/or limited activity leading toward improvement.

Cognia Performance Standards Ratings

Culture of Learning Standards

A good institution nurtures and sustains a healthy culture for learning. In a healthy culture, learners, parents, and educators feel connected to the purpose and work of the institution as well as behave in alignment with the stated values and norms. The institution also demonstrates evidence that reflects the mission, beliefs, and expectations of the institution (e.g., student work; physical appearance of the institution; participation in institution activities; parents’ attendance at institution functions). Keys to A Culture of Learning

A healthy culture is evident where:

- Stakeholders are actively engaged and supportive of the institution’s mission
- Learners’ academic and non-academic needs and interests are the focal point
- Stakeholders are included and supported

Standard 1

Leaders cultivate and sustain a culture that demonstrates respect, fairness, equity, and inclusion and is free from bias.

YOUR RATING
★★★★

LEVEL DESCRIPTION



4	4 - Leaders consistently model the attributes and implement practices that shape and sustain the desired institution culture, clearly setting expectations for all staff members. Leaders and professional staff members consistently implement ongoing practices, processes, and decision making that embody the values of respect, fairness, equity, and inclusion and are free from bias.
3	3 - Leaders regularly model the attributes and implement practices that shape and sustain the desired institution culture, clearly setting expectations for all staff members. Leaders and professional staff members routinely implement ongoing practices, processes, and decision making that embody the values of respect, fairness, equity, and inclusion and are free from bias.
2	2 - Leaders occasionally model the attributes and implement practices that shape and sustain the desired institution culture, clearly setting expectations for all staff members. Leaders and professional staff members sometimes implement ongoing practices, processes, and decision making that embody the values of respect, fairness, equity, and inclusion and are free from bias.
1	1 - Leaders rarely model the attributes and implement practices that shape and sustain the desired institution culture, clearly setting expectations for all staff members. Leaders and professional staff members seldom implement ongoing practices, processes, and decision making that embody the values of respect, fairness, equity, and inclusion and are free from bias.

Standard 2

Learners’ well-being is at the heart of the institution’s guiding principles such as mission, purpose, and beliefs. YOUR RATING
★★★★

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
4	4 - Staff members continually demonstrate commitment to learners’ academic and non-academic needs and interests. The institution’s practices, processes, and decisions are documented and regularly reviewed for consistency with its stated values.
3	3 - Staff members routinely demonstrate commitment to learners’ academic and non-academic needs and interests. The institution’s practices, processes, and decisions are documented and are consistent with and based on its stated values.
2	2 - Staff members occasionally demonstrate commitment to learners’ academic and non-academic needs and interests. The institution’s practices, processes, and decisions are consistent with and based on its stated values.
1	1 - Staff members seldom demonstrate commitment to learners’ academic and non-academic needs and interests. The institution’s practices, processes, and decisions may not be based on its stated values.

Standard 3

Leaders actively engage stakeholders to support the institution’s priorities and guiding principles that promote learners’ academic growth and well-being. YOUR RATING
★★★★☆

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------



4	4 - Leaders establish and sustain conditions that consistently result in support and active participation among stakeholders. Leaders consistently collaborate with stakeholders to advance identified priorities. Institutions implement a formal process to choose areas of focus based on analyzed data on learners' needs and consistent with guiding principles.
3	3 - Leaders establish and sustain conditions that regularly result in support and active participation among stakeholders. Leaders routinely collaborate with stakeholders to advance identified priorities. Institutions choose areas of focus based on analyzed data on learners' needs and consistent with guiding principles.
2	2 - Leaders establish conditions that occasionally result in support and participation among stakeholders. Leaders sometimes collaborate with stakeholders to advance identified priorities. Institutions choose areas of focus sometimes based on data on learners' needs and consistent with guiding principles.
1	1 - Leaders establish conditions that rarely result in support and participation among stakeholders. Leaders seldom collaborate with stakeholders. Institutions choose areas of focus rarely based on data about learners.

Standard 4

Learners benefit from a formal structure that fosters positive relationships with peers and adults. YOUR RATING
★★★★☆

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
4	4 - A formal structure is planned and consistently implemented to promote a culture and climate in which learners receive support from adults and peers. Peer and adult interactions and behaviors consistently demonstrate respect, trust, and concern for one another's well-being.
3	3 - A formal structure is planned and regularly implemented to promote a culture and climate in which learners receive support from adults and peers. Peer and adult interactions and behaviors routinely demonstrate respect, trust, and concern for one another's well-being.
2	2 - A formal structure may be planned but is minimally implemented to promote a culture and climate in which learners receive support from adults and peers. Peer and adult interactions and behaviors sometimes demonstrate respect, trust, and concern for one another's well-being.
1	1 - A formal structure is not planned or implemented to promote a culture and climate in which learners receive support from adults and peers. Peer and adult interactions and behaviors rarely demonstrate respect, trust, and concern for one another's well-being.

Standard 5

Professional staff members embrace effective collegiality and collaboration in support of learners. YOUR RATING
★★★★☆

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
4	4 - The institution's documented operating practices cultivate and set expectations for collegiality and collaboration and are monitored for fidelity of implementation. Professional staff members consistently interact with respect and cooperation, learn from one another, and consider one another's ideas. Professional staff



members intentionally and consistently work together in self-formed or assigned groups to review information, identify common problems, and implement solutions on behalf of learners.

3 - The institution's documented operating practices cultivate and set expectations for collegiality and collaboration. Professional staff members regularly interact with respect and cooperation, often learn from one another, and routinely consider one another's ideas. Professional staff members often work together in self-formed or assigned groups to review information, identify common problems, and implement solutions on behalf of learners.

2 - The institution's operating practices somewhat cultivate and set expectations for collegiality and collaboration. Professional staff members generally interact with respect and cooperation, periodically learn from one another, and somewhat consider one another's ideas. Professional staff members sometimes work together in self-formed or assigned groups to review information, identify common problems, and implement solutions on behalf of learners.

1 - The institution's operating practices rarely cultivate and set expectations for collegiality and collaboration. Professional staff members may or may not interact with respect and cooperation, learn from one another, or consider one another's ideas. Professional staff members rarely work together in self-formed or assigned groups to review information, identify common problems, and implement solutions on behalf of learners.

Standard 6

Professional staff members receive the support they need to strengthen their professional practice.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

4	4 - Professional staff members consistently receive adequate resources and assistance based on data and information unique to the individual. A formal structure ensures that professional staff members receive personalized mentoring and coaching from leaders and peers.
---	--

3	3 - Professional staff members receive adequate resources and assistance based on data and information unique to the individual. Professional staff members receive personalized mentoring and coaching from leaders and peers.
---	---

2	2 - Professional staff members receive some resources and assistance based on data and information unique to the individual. Professional staff members periodically receive mentoring and coaching from leaders and peers.
---	---

1	1 - Professional staff members receive few or no resources and assistance based on data and information unique to the individual. Professional staff members rarely receive mentoring and coaching from leaders and peers.
---	--

Leadership for Learning Standards

The ability of a leader to provide leadership for learning is a key attribute of a good institution. Leaders who engage in their own learning while tangibly supporting the learning process for learners and teachers have a significant positive impact on the success of others. Leaders must also communicate the learning expectations for all learners and teachers continuously with consistency and purpose. The expectations are embedded in the culture of the institution, reflected by learners', teachers', and leaders' behaviors and attitudes toward learning. Keys to Leadership for Learning

Leadership for learning is demonstrated when school leaders:



- Communicate expectations for learning
- Influence and impact the culture in positive ways
- Model and engage in learning while supporting others to do so

Standard 7

Leaders guide professional staff members in the continuous improvement process focused on learners' experiences and needs.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
4	4 - Leaders consistently engage professional staff members in developing, communicating, implementing, monitoring, and adjusting the continuous improvement process. The continuous improvement process is based on analyzed trend and current data about learners' academic and non-academic needs and the institution's organizational effectiveness. Leaders and professional staff members consistently implement ongoing practices, processes, and decision making that improve learning and engage stakeholders.
3	3 - Leaders regularly engage professional staff members in developing, communicating, implementing, monitoring, and adjusting the continuous improvement process. The continuous improvement process is based on analyzed data about learners' academic and non-academic needs and the institution's organizational effectiveness. Leaders and professional staff members routinely implement ongoing practices, processes, and decision making that improve learning and engage stakeholders.
2	2 - Leaders occasionally engage professional staff members in developing, communicating, implementing, monitoring, and adjusting the continuous improvement process. The continuous improvement process is sometimes based on data about learners' academic and non-academic needs and the institution's organizational effectiveness. Leaders and professional staff members sometimes implement ongoing practices, processes, and decision making that improve learning and engage stakeholders.
1	1 - Leaders seldom engage professional staff members in developing, communicating, implementing, monitoring, and adjusting the continuous improvement process. The continuous improvement process is rarely based on data about learners' academic and non-academic needs and the institution's organizational effectiveness. Leaders and professional staff members rarely implement ongoing practices, processes, and decision making that improve learning and engage stakeholders.

Standard 8

The governing authority demonstrates a commitment to learners by collaborating with leaders to uphold the institution's priorities and to drive continuous improvement.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
4	4 - The governing authority's policies and decisions are regularly reviewed to ensure an uncompromised commitment to learners and the institution's identified priorities. The governing authority and institution leaders use their respective roles and responsibilities to consistently and intentionally collaborate to further the institution's improvement.
3	3 - The governing authority's policies and decisions demonstrate a commitment to learners and support the institution's identified priorities. The governing authority and institution leaders use their respective roles and responsibilities to collaboratively further the institution's improvement.

2 2 - The governing authority's decisions demonstrate some commitment to learners and sometimes support the institution's identified priorities. The governing authority and institution leaders use their respective roles and responsibilities to focus the institution's improvement.

1 1 - The governing authority's decisions demonstrate minimal commitment to learners and rarely support the institution's identified priorities. The governing authority and institution leaders seldom collaborate on the institution's improvement.

Standard 9

Leaders cultivate effective individual and collective leadership among stakeholders.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

4	4 - Leaders consistently recognize and actively encourage leadership potential among stakeholders. Leaders create conditions that ensure formal and informal leadership opportunities, and provide customized support for individuals and groups to improve their leadership skills. Stakeholders show initiative and eagerness to take on individual or shared responsibilities that support the institution's priorities.
---	---

3	3 - Leaders frequently recognize and encourage leadership potential among stakeholders. Leaders create conditions that regularly offer formal and informal leadership opportunities, and support individuals and groups to improve their leadership skills. Stakeholders demonstrate a willingness to take on individual or shared responsibilities that support the institution's priorities.
---	--

2	2 - Leaders occasionally recognize and encourage leadership potential among stakeholders. Leaders sometimes create conditions that offer leadership opportunities and support individuals and groups to improve their leadership skills. Stakeholders sometimes volunteer to take on individual or shared responsibilities that support the institution's priorities.
---	---

1	1 - Leaders seldom recognize and encourage leadership potential among stakeholders. Leaders rarely create conditions that offer leadership opportunities and support individuals and groups to improve their leadership skills. Stakeholders rarely volunteer to take on individual or shared responsibilities that support the institution's priorities.
---	---

Standard 10

Leaders demonstrate expertise in recruiting, supervising, and evaluating professional staff members to optimize learning.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

4	4 - Leaders intentionally and consistently identify, develop, and retain qualified professional staff members who contribute to the institution's culture and priorities. Leaders consistently use analyzed data from a variety of sources to forecast future staffing needs and employ best practices to attract a diverse pool of candidates. Leaders implement and monitor documented practices and procedures for supervision and evaluation that improve professional staff members' performance to optimize learning.
---	---

3	3 - Leaders identify, develop, and retain qualified professional staff members who contribute to the institution's culture and priorities. Leaders routinely use data from a variety of sources to forecast future staffing needs and employ best practices to attract a diverse pool of candidates. Leaders regularly implement practices and procedures for supervision and evaluation that improve professional staff members' performance to optimize learning.
2	2 - Leaders hire qualified professional staff members who contribute to the institution's culture and priorities. Leaders sometimes use data to forecast future staffing needs. Leaders supervise and evaluate professional staff members to improve performance.
1	1 - Leaders hire qualified professional staff members without consideration of contribution to the institution's culture and priorities. Leaders rarely use data to forecast future staffing needs. Leaders seldom supervise and evaluate professional staff members to improve performance.

Standard 11

Leaders create and maintain institutional structures and processes that support learners and staff members in both stable and changing environments.

YOUR RATING


LEVEL DESCRIPTION

4	4 - Leaders consistently demonstrate awareness of potential influences on institution stability and engage stakeholders in planning and implementing strategies to maintain stability and respond to change. The institution's structure and processes are documented, monitored, and thoroughly communicated so that learners and staff members know what to do and expect in everyday circumstances. The institution's structure and processes include emergency and contingency plans that support agile and effective responses to both incremental and sudden change.
3	3 - Leaders regularly demonstrate awareness of potential influences on institution stability and engage stakeholders in planning and implementing strategies to maintain stability and respond to change. The institution's structure and processes are documented and communicated so that learners and staff members know what to do and expect in everyday circumstances. The institution's structure and processes include emergency and contingency plans that support responses to both incremental and sudden change.
2	2 - Leaders sometimes demonstrate awareness of potential influences on institution stability and engage stakeholders in planning and implementing strategies to maintain stability and respond to change. The institution's structure and processes are occasionally documented and communicated so that learners and staff members know what to do and expect in everyday circumstances. The institution's structure and processes include emergency and contingency plans to respond to change.
1	1 - Leaders seldom demonstrate awareness of potential influences on institution stability. The institution's structure and processes are not well documented or communicated so that learners and staff members know what to do and expect in everyday circumstances. The institution's structure and processes may not include emergency and contingency plans to respond to change.

Standard 12

Professional staff members implement curriculum and instruction that are aligned for relevancy, inclusion, and effectiveness.

YOUR RATING




LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
4	4 - Professional staff members systematically implement, review, and adjust curriculum and instruction based on recognized and evidence-based content standards. Curriculum and instructional practices are regularly assessed through a formal, systematic process to assure alignment, relevancy, inclusiveness, and effectiveness for all learners.
3	3 - Professional staff members implement, review, and adjust curriculum and instruction based on recognized and evidence-based content standards. Curriculum and instructional practices are regularly assessed to assure alignment, relevancy, inclusiveness, and effectiveness for all learners.
2	2 - Professional staff members implement curriculum and instruction based on recognized and evidence-based content standards. Curriculum and instructional practices are sometimes assessed to assure alignment, relevancy, inclusiveness, and effectiveness for all learners.
1	1 - Professional staff members implement locally adopted curriculum and instruction. Curriculum and instructional practices are rarely or not assessed to assure alignment, relevancy, inclusiveness, and effectiveness for all learners.

Standard 13

Qualified personnel instruct and assist learners and each other in support of the institution’s mission, purpose, and beliefs. YOUR RATING
★★★★☆

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
4	4 - All staff members demonstrate commitment to enhancing their professional practice over and above the required knowledge and skills for their positions. Staff members work collaboratively to instruct and assist learners and colleagues in support of the institution’s guiding principles. Staff members’ individual and collective decisions and behaviors consistently demonstrate alignment and coherence with the institution’s mission, purpose, and beliefs.
3	3 - All staff members demonstrate the required knowledge and skills for their positions. Staff members work cooperatively to instruct and assist learners and colleagues in support of the institution’s guiding principles. Staff members’ individual and collective decisions and behaviors demonstrate alignment and coherence with the institution’s mission, purpose, and beliefs.
2	2 - Most staff members demonstrate the required knowledge and skills for their positions, and a plan is being implemented to ensure that all staff members are qualified for their positions. Staff members sometimes work cooperatively to instruct and assist learners and colleagues in support of the institution’s guiding principles. Staff members’ individual and collective decisions and behaviors sometimes demonstrate alignment and coherence with the institution’s mission, purpose, and beliefs.
1	1 - Some staff members do not demonstrate the required knowledge and skills for their positions, and a plan does not exist to ensure that all staff members are qualified for their positions. Staff members rarely work cooperatively to instruct and assist learners and colleagues in support of the institution’s guiding principles. Staff members’ individual and collective decisions and behaviors rarely demonstrate alignment and coherence with the institution’s mission, purpose, and beliefs.

Standard 14



Curriculum and instruction are augmented by reliable information resources and materials that advance learning and support learners' personal interests.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL DESCRIPTION

-
- 4 - Professional staff members consistently suggest and provide thoughtfully selected information resources and materials for learners that broaden and enrich the learning process and support learners' personal interests. A systematic process is used to identify and verify that information resources and materials are selected from credible sources.
-
- 3 - Professional staff members suggest and provide thoughtfully selected information resources and materials for learners that broaden and enrich the learning process and support learners' personal interests. These information resources and materials are selected from credible sources and based on verifiable information.
-
- 2 - Professional staff members sometimes suggest and provide information resources and materials for learners that broaden and enrich the learning process and/or support learners' personal interests. These information resources and materials are usually selected from credible sources and based on verifiable information.
-
- 1 - Professional staff members rarely suggest and provide information resources and materials for learners that broaden and enrich the learning process or support learners' personal interests. These information resources and materials are rarely selected from credible sources or may not be based on verifiable information.
-

Standard 15

Learners' needs drive the equitable allocation and management of human, material, digital, and fiscal resources.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL DESCRIPTION

-
- 4 - Professional staff members engage in a systematic process to analyze learners' needs and current trend data to adjust the allocation and management of human, material, digital, and fiscal resources to ensure equity for learning. Adjustments to resource allocation are consistently based on current data at any point in time.
-
- 3 - Professional staff members routinely analyze learners' needs and current trend data to adjust the allocation and management of human, material, digital, and fiscal resources to ensure equity for learning. Adjustments to resource allocation are routinely based on current data and at predetermined points in time.
-
- 2 - Professional staff members sometimes analyze learners' needs and current trend data to adjust the allocation and management of human, material, digital, and fiscal resources to ensure equity for learning. Adjustments to resource allocation are sometimes based on current or updated data.
-
- 1 - Professional staff members rarely analyze learners' needs and trend data to adjust the allocation and management of human, material, digital, and fiscal resources. Resources are rarely allocated in alignment with documented learners' needs or to ensure equity for learning.
-

Engagement of Learning Standards

A good institution ensures that learners are engaged in the learning environment. Learners who are engaged in the



learning environment participate with confidence and display agency over their own learning. A good institution adopts policies and engages in practices that support all learners being included in the learning process. Keys to Engagement of Learning

Engagement is demonstrated when all learners:

- Are included in the learning process
- Participate with confidence
- Have agency over their learning

Standard 16

Learners experience curriculum and instruction that emphasize the value of diverse cultures, backgrounds, and abilities.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

4	4 - Respect for the diversity of cultures, backgrounds, and abilities is embedded in every aspect of the institution's culture and learning environments. The presence and contributions of the global community are authentically integrated in the curricular content and instructional practices.
3	3 - Respect for the diversity of cultures, backgrounds, and abilities is clearly present in the institution's culture and learning environments. The presence and contributions of the global community are intentionally included in the curricular content and instructional practices.
2	2 - Respect for the diversity of cultures, backgrounds, and abilities is somewhat present in the institution's culture and learning environments. The presence and contributions of the global community are inconsistently included in the curricular content and instructional practices.
1	1 - Respect for the diversity of cultures, backgrounds, and abilities is rarely present in the institution's culture and learning environments. The presence and contributions of the global community are not included in the curricular content and instructional practices.

Standard 17

Learners have equitable opportunities to realize their learning potential.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

4	4 - Professional staff members develop relationships with and understand the needs and well-being of individual learners. Academic and non-academic experiences are tailored to the needs and well-being of individual learners. Learners are challenged and supported to strive towards maximal levels of achievement and self-efficacy without barriers or hindrances by schedules or access to academic and non-academic offerings.
3	3 - Professional staff members know their learners well enough to develop and provide a variety of academic and non-academic experiences. Learners have access and choice in most academic and non-academic opportunities available according to grade levels or through expected sequencing of courses. Learners rarely encounter barriers when accessing academic and non-academic experiences most suited to their individual

needs and well-being. Learners are challenged and supported to strive towards individual achievement and self-efficacy.

2 - Professional staff members give consideration to varying learner needs and well-being when developing and providing academic and non-academic experiences. Learners have access to some variety in academic and non-academic opportunities available according to grade levels or through expected sequencing of courses. Learners may encounter barriers when accessing some academic and non-academic experiences most suited to their individual needs and well-being. Learners are sometimes challenged and supported to strive towards individual achievement and self-efficacy.

1 - Professional staff members give little or no consideration to individual learner needs and well-being when developing and providing academic and non-academic experiences. Academic and non-academic opportunities are limited and standardized according to grade levels or a predetermined sequencing of courses. Learners frequently encounter a variety of barriers when accessing academic and non-academic offerings that would be well suited to their individual needs and well-being. Learners are rarely challenged to strive towards individual achievement and self-efficacy.

Standard 18

Learners are immersed in an environment that fosters lifelong skills including creativity, curiosity, risk taking, collaboration, and design thinking.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
4	4 - Conditions across all aspects of the institution promote learners' lifelong skills. Learners engage in ongoing experiences that develop the non-academic skills important for their next steps in learning and for future success. A formal structure ensures that learning experiences collectively build skills in creativity, curiosity, risk taking, collaboration, and design thinking.
3	3 - Conditions within most aspects of the institution promote learners' lifelong skills. Learners engage in experiences that develop the non-academic skills important for their next steps in learning and for future success. Collectively, the learning experiences build skills in creativity, curiosity, risk taking, collaboration, and design thinking.
2	2 - Conditions within some aspects of the institution promote learners' lifelong skills. Learners engage in some experiences that develop non-academic skills important for their next steps in learning and for future success. Some learning experiences build skills in creativity, curiosity, risk taking, collaboration, and design thinking.
1	1 - Learners engage in environments that focus primarily on academic learning objectives only. Little or no emphasis is placed on non-academic skills important for next steps in learning and for future success. Learning experiences rarely build skills in creativity, curiosity, risk taking, collaboration, or design thinking.

Standard 19

Learners are immersed in an environment that promotes and respects student voice and responsibility for their learning.

YOUR RATING



4	4 - Conditions across all aspects of the institution promote learners' active discovery and expression of their needs and interests. Learners give input into the instructional and learning activities they pursue and the methods in which they learn. Learners consistently identify their learning targets and monitor their progress.
3	3 - Conditions within most aspects of the institution are learner-centered and promote learners' active discovery and expression of their needs and interests. Learners give input into most of the instructional and learning activities available to them. Learners are frequently involved in identifying their learning targets and monitoring their progress.
2	2 - Conditions within some aspects of the institution are learner-centered and promote learners' active discovery and expression of their needs and interests. Learners have some opportunity for input into the instructional and learning activities available to them. Learners are sometimes involved in identifying their learning targets and monitoring their progress.
1	1 - Learners engage in environments that are heavily instructor-centered. Learners have little or no input into the instructional and learning activities available to them. Learners are rarely expected to monitor their learning progress.

Standard 20

Learners engage in experiences that promote and develop their self-confidence and love of learning. YOUR RATING
★★★★☆

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
4	4 - Learners consistently pursue challenging opportunities that may not always result in success, knowing that they will be supported when needed. Learners readily and consistently show motivation, curiosity, and excitement about their learning.
3	3 - Most learners pursue opportunities that may not always result in success, knowing they will be supported. Most learners show motivation, curiosity, and excitement about their learning.
2	2 - Some learners pursue opportunities that may not always result in success, but only with significant, individual support. Some learners show motivation, curiosity, and excitement about their learning.
1	1 - Most learners primarily pursue opportunities they believe to be risk-free or heavily guaranteed to be successful. Most learners show little motivation, curiosity, or excitement about their learning.

Standard 21

Instruction is characterized by high expectations and learner-centered practices. YOUR RATING
★★★★★

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
4	4 - Learners engage in instructional activities, experiences, and interactions based on their individual needs and interests. Professional staff members consistently deliver instruction designed for learners to reach their potential.



3	3 - Most learners engage in instructional activities, experiences, and interactions based on their individual needs and interests. Professional staff members routinely deliver instruction designed for learners to reach their potential.
2	2 - Learners engage in instructional activities, experiences, and interactions based on needs and interests typical of most students. Professional staff members infrequently deliver instruction designed for learners to reach their potential.
1	1 - Instructional activities are primarily designed around curriculum objectives with little or no focus on learner needs and interests. Professional staff members rarely deliver instruction designed for learners to reach their individual potential.

Standard 22

Instruction is monitored and adjusted to advance and deepen individual learners' knowledge and understanding of the curriculum.

YOUR RATING
★★★★☆

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

4	4 - Professional staff members consistently monitor and adjust instruction based on each learner's response to instruction and achievement of desired learning targets. Professional staff members use a formal, systematic process for analyzing trend and current data to deepen each learner's understanding of content at increasing levels of complexity.
3	3 - Professional staff members regularly monitor and adjust instruction based on each learner's response to instruction and achievement of desired learning targets. Professional staff members routinely analyze trend and current data to deepen each learner's understanding of content.
2	2 - Professional staff members sometimes monitor and adjust instruction based on each learner's achievement of desired learning targets. Professional staff members sometimes analyze data to deepen each learner's understanding of content.
1	1 - Professional staff members rarely monitor and adjust instruction. Professional staff members rarely analyze data to deepen each learner's understanding of content.

Standard 23

Professional staff members integrate digital resources that deepen and advance learners' engagement with instruction and stimulate their curiosity.

YOUR RATING
★★★★★

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

4	4 - Professional staff members seamlessly and deliberately integrate digital resources that add value to the learning process and encourage learners' active engagement in the learning process. Digital resources consistently support learners' pursuit of interests and deepen or extend curriculum topics to stimulate learners' curiosity.
3	3 - Professional staff members intentionally select and integrate digital resources that add value to the learning

process and encourage learners' active engagement in the learning process. Digital resources routinely support learners' pursuit of interests and deepen or extend curriculum topics to stimulate learners' curiosity.

2 - Professional staff members occasionally select and integrate digital resources that add value to the learning process or encourage learners' active engagement in the learning process. Digital resources sometimes support learners' pursuit of interests and deepen or extend curriculum topics to stimulate learners' curiosity.

1 - Professional staff members select and integrate few or no digital resources or select digital resources that rarely add value to the learning process or encourage learners' active engagement in the learning process. Digital resources rarely support learners' pursuit of interests or deepen or extend curriculum topics to stimulate learners' curiosity.

Growth in Learning Standards

A good institution positively impacts learners throughout their journey of learning. A positive impact on the learner is reflected in readiness to engage in and preparedness for the next transition in their learning. Growth in learning is also reflected in learners' ability to meet expectations in knowledge and skill acquisition. Keys to Growth in Learning

Growth is evident when

- Learners possess non-academic skills that ensure readiness to learn
- Learners' academic achievement reflects preparedness to learn
- Learners attain knowledge and skills necessary to achieve goals for learning

Standard 24

Leaders use data and input from a variety of sources to make decisions for learners' and staff members' growth and well-being.

YOUR RATING
★★★★

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

4	4 - Leaders consistently demonstrate skill and insight in considering a variety of information, choosing relevant and timely information, and interpreting data. Leaders make intentional decisions by consistently taking into account data and additional factors that have an impact on learners and staff members such as institution history, recent experiences, and future possibilities.
---	--

3	3 - Leaders regularly demonstrate skill and insight in considering a variety of information, choosing relevant and timely information, and interpreting data. Leaders make decisions by routinely taking into account data and additional factors that have an impact on learners and staff members such as institution history, recent experiences, and future possibilities.
---	--

2	2 - Leaders sometimes demonstrate skill and insight in considering and choosing information and interpreting data. Leaders make decisions that occasionally take into account data and additional factors that have an impact on learners and staff members such as institution history, recent experiences, and future possibilities.
---	--

1	1 - Leaders rarely demonstrate skill and insight in considering and choosing information and interpreting data. Leaders make decisions that rarely take into account data and additional factors that have an impact on learners and staff members such as institution history, recent experiences, and future possibilities.
---	---

Standard 25



Leaders promote action research by professional staff members to improve their practice and advance learning.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL DESCRIPTION

4	4 - Leaders intentionally create and preserve a culture that invites inquiry, reflection, and dialogue about instructional problems and issues relevant to the institution and/or individual learning environments. Professional staff members, as a group or as individuals, consistently engage in action research using an inquiry-based process that includes identifying instructional areas of improvement, collecting data, and reporting results to make informed instructional changes. Leaders provide and engage in learning opportunities customized for professional staff members about action research.
3	3 - Leaders regularly create and preserve a culture that invites inquiry, reflection, and dialogue about instructional problems and issues relevant to the institution and/or individual learning environments. Professional staff members, as a group or as individuals, routinely engage in action research using an inquiry-based process that includes identifying instructional areas of improvement, collecting data, and reporting results to make informed instructional changes. Leaders provide and engage in learning opportunities for professional staff members to implement action research.
2	2 - Leaders occasionally create and preserve a culture that invites inquiry, reflection, and dialogue about instructional problems and issues relevant to the institution and/or individual learning environments. Professional staff members, as a group or as individuals, sometimes engage in action research using an inquiry-based process that includes identifying instructional areas of improvement, collecting data, and reporting results to make informed instructional changes. Leaders provide and engage in some learning opportunities for professional staff members to implement action research.
1	1 - Leaders rarely create a culture that invites inquiry, reflection, and dialogue about instructional problems and issues relevant to the institution or learning environments. Professional staff members seldom engage in action research to make informed instructional changes. Leaders provide and engage in few or no learning opportunities for professional staff members about action research.

Standard 26

Leaders regularly evaluate instructional programs and organizational conditions to improve instruction and advance learning.

YOUR RATING



LEVEL DESCRIPTION

4	4 - Leaders consistently implement a documented process to determine the effectiveness of the institution's curriculum and instruction, including staffing and resources. Leaders use a formal, systematic process for analyzing current and trend data and stakeholder input to make decisions about retaining, changing, or replacing programs and practices.
3	3 - Leaders routinely implement a documented process to determine the effectiveness of the institution's curriculum and instruction, including staffing and resources. Leaders use analyzed current and trend data and stakeholder input to make decisions about retaining, changing, or replacing programs and practices.
2	2 - Leaders occasionally implement a process to determine the effectiveness of the institution's curriculum and instruction, including staffing and resources. Leaders sometimes use data and stakeholder input to make decisions about retaining, changing, or replacing programs and practices.

- 1 - Leaders rarely implement a process to determine the effectiveness of the institution's curriculum and instruction, including staffing and resources. Leaders seldom use data and stakeholder input to make decisions about retaining, changing, or replacing programs and practices.

Standard 27

Learners' diverse academic and non-academic needs are identified and effectively addressed through appropriate interventions.

YOUR RATING
★★★★

LEVEL DESCRIPTION

- 4 - The institution consistently addresses the range of developmental, physical, emotional, and intellectual needs to support learners' ability to learn. Strategies and interventions for these needs are formally and systematically planned and implemented based on analyzed information, data, and instructional best practices to ensure learners' success.
- 3 - The institution routinely addresses the range of developmental, physical, emotional, and intellectual needs to support learners' ability to learn. Strategies and interventions for these needs are regularly planned and implemented based on analyzed information, data, and instructional best practices to ensure learners' success.
- 2 - The institution sometimes addresses the range of developmental, physical, emotional, and intellectual needs to support learners' ability to learn. Strategies and interventions for these needs are occasionally planned and implemented based on information, data, and instructional best practices to ensure learners' success.
- 1 - The institution rarely addresses the range of developmental, physical, emotional, and intellectual needs to support learners' ability to learn. Strategies and interventions for these needs are seldom planned and implemented based on information, data, or instructional best practices.

Standard 28

With support, learners pursue individual goals including the acquisition of academic and non-academic skills important for their educational futures and careers.

YOUR RATING
★★★★☆

LEVEL DESCRIPTION

- 4 - Professional staff members consistently engage with learners to help them recognize their talents and potential and to identify meaningful, attainable goals that support academic, career, personal, and social skills. Learners consistently choose activities and monitor their own progress, demonstrating active ownership of their stated goals.
- 3 - Professional staff members regularly engage with learners to help them recognize their talents and potential and to identify meaningful, attainable goals that support academic, career, personal, and social skills. Learners routinely choose activities and monitor their own progress, demonstrating active ownership of their stated goals.
- 2 - Professional staff members sometimes engage with learners to help them recognize their talents and potential and to identify meaningful, attainable goals that support academic, career, personal, and social skills.



Learners occasionally choose activities and monitor their own progress, demonstrating active ownership of their stated goals.

- 1 - Professional staff members rarely engage with learners to help them recognize their talents and potential and to identify meaningful, attainable goals that support academic, career, personal, and social skills. Learners do not choose activities or monitor their own progress toward goals.
-

Standard 29

Understanding learners' needs and interests drives the design, delivery, application, and evaluation of professional learning.

YOUR RATING
★★★★

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

4 - Professional learning is learner-centered, customized around the needs of individual or groups of professional staff members, and focuses on improving pedagogical skills and knowledge to better address learners' needs and interests. A documented process to select, deliver, implement, and evaluate professional learning is being fully implemented and monitored for fidelity.

3 - Professional learning is learner-centered, designed around the principle that professional staff members need opportunities to focus on improving pedagogical skills and knowledge to better address learners' needs and interests. A documented process to select, deliver, implement, and evaluate professional learning is being fully implemented.

2 - Professional learning is occasionally learner-centered, designed around the principle that professional staff members need opportunities to focus on improving pedagogical skills and knowledge to better address learners' needs and interests. A documented process to select, deliver, implement, and evaluate professional learning exists but is not fully implemented.

1 - Professional learning is rarely learner-centered and may or may not focus on improving pedagogical skills and knowledge to better address learners' needs and interests. A documented process to select, deliver, implement, and evaluate professional learning does not exist.

Standard 30

Learners' progress is measured through a balanced system that includes assessment both for learning and of learning.

YOUR RATING
★★★★

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

4 - Professional staff members and learners collaborate to determine learners' progress toward and achievement of intended learning objectives based on assessment data gathered through formal and informal methods. Assessment data are systematically used for ongoing planning, decision making, and modification of curriculum and instruction.

3 - Professional staff members and learners regularly use assessment data gathered through formal and informal methods to determine learners' progress toward and achievement of intended learning objectives. Assessment data are routinely used for ongoing planning, decision making, and modification of curriculum and instruction.



2 - Professional staff members occasionally use assessment data gathered through formal and informal methods to determine learners' progress toward and achievement of intended learning objectives. Assessment data are sometimes used for ongoing planning, decision making, and modification of curriculum and instruction.

1 - Professional staff members seldom use assessment data to determine learners' progress toward and achievement of intended learning objectives. Assessment data are rarely or inconsistently used for ongoing planning, decision making, and modification of curriculum and instruction.

Insights from the Review

The evaluators engaged in professional discussions and deliberations about the effectiveness of the processes, programs, and practices within the institution to arrive at the findings of the report. Guided by evidence, the evaluators arrived at findings that will inform your institution's continuous improvement efforts. The findings are aligned to research-based criteria designed to improve student learning and organizational effectiveness.

The findings are organized into narratives around four Key Characteristics critical to the success of any educational institution: culture of learning, leadership for learning, engagement of learning, and growth in learning. The narratives also provide the next steps to guide your institution's improvement journey in its efforts to improve the quality of educational opportunities for all learners. The feedback provided in this Accreditation Engagement Review Report will assist your institution in reflecting on its current improvement efforts and adapting and adjusting your plans to continuously strive for improvement.

Culture of Learning

Centerville Abington Community Schools (CACS) promotes a Culture of Learning by upholding guiding principles of the stated mission and vision, actively engaging stakeholders, fostering positive relationships with peers and adults, and ensuring collegiality and collaboration of the professional staff. The underlying commitment to the students, families, and school community is embedded in the processes, policies, and focused decision-making of the administration, board, and school community to provide a positive, supportive, and challenging learning environment for each of the four schools of the system. A review of the mission and vision and subsequent school-level strategic improvement plans ensures that the focus on the education and well-being of all students is foundational to the direction of the system. Evidence provided through analyzed feedback and student survey data, innovative extra-curricular activities for students, leadership opportunities for professional staff, and a review of academic and non-academic needs and interests indicate a focus on the learner and academic improvement. Documented evidence of the mission and vision statements, in addition to agendas from board meetings, system and school websites, interviews, the system's Strategic Plan and Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP),

repeatedly communicate the focus, the direction, and the purpose of these statements. The system's stated mission "Centerville-Abington Community Schools, in partnership with families and the community, educates all students to be lifelong learners and responsible citizens" aligns directly with the corporate vision of all stakeholders "Educated for Success" and the supporting corporation-wide goals for the school system. During the overview presentation, the superintendent referenced three strategic goals that all schools support. These goals, observed in each building, are applied across all schools and measured for continuous growth and school improvement.

Multiple opportunities are provided for students and professional staff to ensure adherence to the mission. The elementary buildings have adopted a county-wide social-emotional initiative called More Than Words. This is a toolkit the elementary schools use to reinforce a character trait each month through curriculum enhancements and leading by example. Local businesses and churches focus on the same character trait to build a collective community and enhance collaboration within and among the school community. Community member interviews indicate strong support for this endeavor. Additional character enhancements are offered at secondary schools through the Character Strong program. This was adopted and is used in the "homeroom" setting with teacher advocates who meet with the same group of students for four years. This advisor/advisee continuum provides a consistent mentor to all secondary students whose relationship is multi-year for advising and supporting all students. Additional ways peer and adult interactions consistently demonstrate respect, trust, and concern beyond strictly academics are through the Communities in Schools (CIS) program, Trust-Based Relational Interventions (TBIS) training, and the community-based Scholars for Dollars initiative that has been active in the school community since 2004.

With over 1,700 students and approximately 200 certified and classified staff members, the system leaders work diligently to ensure positive relationships and interactions between students and adults are promoted each day. The superintendent and assistant superintendent not only lead the academic mission of the school, but they also choose to fill in as substitute teachers and bus drivers as needed to remain close to the day-to-day work of the schools. The school



corporation deploys and analyzes annual survey results regarding the engagement of employees, students, and parents. The results from the perception surveys indicate strong support for the current mission of the schools from parents (91% support), faculty and staff (98% support), and middle and high school students (92% support) regarding the mission of the schools and overall universal support regarding the direction the school system is going.

In providing experiences for staff that ensure collegiality and collaboration, the system engages in vertical content area team planning in some content areas. The vertical team planning began with AP teachers planning with teachers in grades 3-12 to align the curriculum to ensure students were ready for AP classes at the high school level. This initial work group expanded to include multiple content areas, with the collaborative team analyzing the latest summative test results from state assessments and NWEA MAP test results to determine progress over time and identify curriculum gaps or overlaps. Teacher interviews indicate teacher-level involvement to support the continuous improvement of instruction and curriculum development at the classroom level. Teacher survey data supports the collaborative nature of the planning work with 100% of teachers responding that “collaboration” is the word they would use in reference to experiences with colleagues.

Newly created instructional coaches assigned at the building level gather data and prepare graphs and tables to compare test data over time for both grade levels and course-specific results as they guide the collaborative work specific to curriculum, instruction, and assessment. Administrative and teacher feedback indicates dedicated support for the instructional coaches and the guided work to provide interventions, accommodations, or academic extensions that are available for the students, whatever their needs. Working collaboratively cultivates and sets expectations for everyone. The system is encouraged to maintain its intentional focus on the mission and vision and to explore opportunities for further engagement and collaboration with all stakeholders.

Leadership for Learning

CACS models Leadership for Learning through effective individual and collective leadership opportunities, implementation of a relevant and inclusive curriculum, engaging instructional practices to support learning for all, and equitable allocation and management of all resources.

District leadership involves various stakeholders

through surveys, school improvement teams, referred to as “Change Committees,” and vertical content teams to analyze data trends and make adjustments to instructional practices and education processes as noted in the self-study submitted by CACS. Each building submits an annual School Improvement Plan to support the core strategic goals of the school corporation. These school improvement plans and disaggregated data analysis were shared at the leadership overview meeting with the review team. Based on prior years’ data analysis, the board of trustees approved the creation of instructional coaches to collaborate directly with teachers to support real-time professional development, assist with analysis of data, alignment of curriculum, and support research-based, best practice instruction for classroom teachers. Data reflects an increased analysis of educational data used to identify student needs and guide instructional practices. Each school has an organized “Change Committee” that consists of teachers, administration, and students as appropriate. These Change Committees meet monthly to discuss data, share concerns, present innovative ideas, and provide guidance related to school improvement and student growth.

Administration, instructional coaches, and faculty developed specific protocols to ensure a viable and guaranteed curriculum for students that is aligned vertically across all schools. The system assists this guaranteed curriculum through multiple avenues. One way is through 1:1 technology integration. CACS uses technology “to support teaching and learning as a tool to foster inquiry, reflection, research, and problem solving” as noted in the technology statement of purpose. The system has recently adopted new curriculum maps and purchased supporting texts based on recommendations by content-specific teacher groups. With these updated curricula comes additional professional development that is delivered just in time by district instructional coaches who support the work of the classroom teachers.

As referenced in the overview presentation, CACS is the second largest system in Wayne County, with the schools consistently scoring above the state and county averages on the state mandated assessments. The system currently has 97% of their teachers classified as highly effective and three percent who are classified effective on Indiana’s State Model of Teacher Effectiveness. As stated in the onsite system visit, “We made a commitment to look beyond being the best in the county to be one of the best school systems in the state.” The system is encouraged to



maintain this intentional focus on improving instructional practices and aligning curriculum to support learning for all.

Engagement of Learning

Engagement of Learning is addressed by system leaders providing equitable opportunities, safe, secure, healthy school environments, and student choice to increase student engagement and opportunities for success. CACS is located near

five higher education institutions that collaborate with the system. With a variety of higher education opportunities, from traditional four-year institutions to faith-based options, and technical community colleges, CACS is able to successfully transition students to specific programs to become contributing members of the community. With over two dozen identified extracurricular clubs, a wide variety of successful athletic programs, and co-curricular offerings such as speech, marching, symphonic, jazz, and concert bands, concert and jazz choir, academic competition, and drama, leaders ensure equitable opportunities for all students. The goal of CACS is to make sure all students have the experiences, resources, and support they need to be successful at school and in life. This comprehensive approach to education contributes to the community being identified by Bloomberg Business Week as a great place to raise a family, with the “population representing an average to above-average socio-economic status with a family-oriented value system.”

Students’ academic opportunities include specific dual-credit and Advance Placement (AP) courses, Early Intervention programs, Reading Recovery, career information, and exploration, opportunities to apply to EXCEL, the high-ability program for academically gifted, and placement at Bulldog Opportunity Academy which assists with supporting students who are at risk in the traditional school setting. These specific supports are factors that contribute to the success of the schools which enroll 29% of students beyond the school attendance zones. The system has specific guiding principles for success. Included in these principles is the belief that “all students are accepted as unique individuals who are capable of learning,” and the philosophy that “schools educate students to learn how to learn in an ever-changing world.” In order to address the social-emotional well-being and academic gaps of students’ following the COVID-19 interruptions in teaching, all schools implemented Trust-Based Relational Interventions (TBRI) programs to support a more

empathic approach to students who are experiencing crises and trauma at home. 100% of the teaching faculty has been trained in the past two years to deal with personal student crisis and social-emotional well-being through the TBRI program.

During discussions following the overview, leaders acknowledged a need to continue the development of curriculum pacing guides for all teachers in all grade levels. The importance of instructional practice supporting state standards is vital for continued student progress. The team suggests the leaders continue to expand the use of academic coaches and intentional collaboration with institutions of higher education to build instructional best practices and academic rigor in all content areas.

Growth in Learning

In Growth in Learning, the system utilizes various programs and initiatives to ensure students’ progress is measured through a balanced assessment system, interventions are in place to meet identified academic and non-academic needs of students, and data are used to make decisions regarding student growth and well-being. At the

district level, NWEA formative assessment data is prepared twice a year for the K-12 math and E/LA vertical teams to disaggregate and make instructional recommendations. Members of specific content teams also analyze the data to form instructional decisions, align curricula, and determine additional instructional resources and/ or student supports. The Change committee at each school may implement the change process related to best practice decision-making for each school. At each building level, a variety of formative and summative assessments, including both academic and non-academic data, is used to fully inform teaching and learning practices for all students. Interim assessments in the form of NWEA MAP testing are used from grades K-12, with state-required ILEARN assessment in grades 3-8 and IREAD assessments through grade four. Elementary teachers use STAR, mCLASS assessments, and IREAD3 to gauge student skill progression. The high school uses PSAT and SAT for grades 10 and 11. With the emphasis on data, the system has implemented a review process that includes not only academic data, but surveys, and school improvement goals for each school to focus on what is going well and what needs to be improved.

School-wide intervention processes address student-specific academic needs of struggling students. These responses to intervention teams look different at each



school, however, the process for supporting all students in documents through meeting minutes, formal individual learning plans, and additional assigned instructional supports for students. Interviews and related RTI evidence indicated that each school has a dedicated academic coach, and this person leads the work related to meeting the unique needs of each student.

The system leaders, school-level leaders, and teachers are continually making decisions regarding student academic growth and well-being based on the continuous improvement process. The system's analyses of student performance data and evidence from non-academic areas such as attendance, behavior, and social-emotional skills provide a comprehensive review of the data. Consistent monitoring of data, a strong commitment to continuous improvement at all levels, and a comprehensive understanding of multiple aspects that impact student achievement, both academic and non-academic can empower the system in its pursuit to increase overall student outcomes. The team suggests that the system continue to plan, implement, and monitor the principles of the continuous improvement process within all aspects of the system.

In summary, the team believes that the system's leadership and professional staff are committed to the process of continuous improvement and to the success of every stakeholder. The quality of the reporting, the materials included for review, and the reflective analyses by the system were indicative of the importance of aligning the mission and vision with the overall commitment to student success. As the system moves forward, the insights and findings from this report will further leverage those commitments and build upon the strengths of Centerville-Abington Community Schools.

Summary of Findings

The review process focused on establishing evidence of effective practice and performance of the institution in relation to the accreditation standards

Noteworthy Practices

In conducting the review, the team identified Noteworthy Practices that reflect significant areas of strength in the work of the institution. Although there are numerous examples of the institution's level of quality, the recognition of Noteworthy Practices reflect the greatest strengths of the institution.

-
- 1 CASC administration and faculty intentionally plan for all students to experience learning opportunities that allow them to grow academically, socially, and emotionally. The school environment is safe for all learners to explore and reach their highest potential. CASC board, administration, and faculty collaborate consistently to further the continuous improvement of the institution. Policies and practices support effective operations of the system at all levels.**

Standard 1 Standard 2

-
- 2 District and school administration make decisions based on consistent use of data to inform institutional practice. Leaders analyze academic and non-academic data regularly to ensure appropriate next steps for student growth. Digital resources are used to support specific learner needs as response to intervention strategies are personalized for student success.**

Standard 24 Standard 30 Standard 27

Areas for Improvement

Using information collected and reviewed, the team identified the following Areas for Improvement that will help the institution improve. The Areas of Improvement will be revisited when the institution conducts Cognia's Progress Review.

-
- 1 Implement a structured succession plan by formalizing processes and practices related to continuous improvement and program successes.**

Standard 10 Standard 7

RATIONALE Active engagement in institutionalizing continuous improvement processes and practices ensure smooth transitions. Embrace succession planning as an ongoing continuous improvement process to ensure student, faculty, and school success in all areas of the school community.

-
- 2 Formalize the curriculum alignment process for all content areas K-12 to develop a comprehensive and guaranteed curricular planning document for all stakeholders.**

Standard 11 Standard 16

RATIONALE A guaranteed and viable curriculum in all content areas will assist in identifying learning gaps or overlaps and will improve student performance.



Accreditation Status and Index of Education Quality®

Cognia will review the results of the Accreditation Engagement Review to make a final determination concerning accreditation status for your institution based on these findings. Cognia provides the Index of Education Quality (IEQ) as a holistic measure of overall performance.

Your Institution's IEQ

353

SCORE	DESCRIPTION
Below 220	An IEQ score below 220 indicates that the institution has several Areas for Improvement and should focus their improvement efforts on those areas and the related Standards and/or Assurances. The institution will be required to present evidence of improvement to Cognia within one year through a Progress Review. Additional Progress Reports may be required if satisfactory improvement is not achieved.
220 - 300	An IEQ in the range of 220-300 suggests the institution some Areas of Improvement and may include one or more Noteworthy Practices.
Above 300	An IEQ of 300 and above indicates the institution meets Cognia for expectations for accreditation that includes one or more Areas Improvement as well as one or more Noteworthy Practices. Institutions must address the Areas for Improvement and provide evidence of actions taken and results to Cognia in a required Progress Review due two years following the review. Additional Progress Reports may be required if satisfactory improvement is not achieved.

Your Next Steps

Accreditation is a continuous improvement process. The Engagement Review provides independent, objective guidance in relation to the Performance Standards and the institution's improvement journey. Upon receiving the Accreditation Engagement Review Report, the institution is expected to implement the following steps:

- Review and share the findings in this report with stakeholders.
- Use the findings from the report to guide and strengthen your institution's improvement efforts.
- Celebrate the successes noted in the report.
- Continue the improvement journey.
- Report to Cognia on your progress toward improvement.



Evaluator Roster

The Engagement Review Team is a group of professionals with varied backgrounds and professional experiences. All Lead Evaluators and Engagement Review Team members complete Cognia training and elite certification to ensure knowledge and understanding of the Cognia tools and processes. The following professionals served on the Engagement Review Team:

TEAM MEMBER NAME	BRIEF BIOGRAPHY
Ann Burns Lead Evaluator	Dr. Ann Burns holds a Bachelor of Science, a Master of Arts, and a EdD from Eastern Kentucky University. She began her career as an elementary education teacher followed by serving as an instructional supervisor, elementary principal, leadership consultant for a regional cooperative, and education regional director of priority schools for the Kentucky Department of Education. Currently, Dr. Burns is an associate professor at Eastern Kentucky University in the department of teaching, learning, and educational leadership. She teaches educational leadership and doctoral courses. Dr. Burns also serves as a Cognia Regional Accreditation Evaluator.
Jennifer Horvath	

References and Readings

- AdvancED. (2015). Continuous Improvement and Accountability. Alpharetta, GA: AdvancED. Retrieved from <https://source.cognia.org/issue-article/continuous-improvement-and-accountability/>
- Bernhardt, V., & Herbert, C. (2010). Response to intervention and continuous school improvement: Using data, vision, and leadership to design, implement, and evaluate a schoolwide prevention program. New York: Routledge.
- Chester, M.D. (2003), Multiple Measures and High-Stakes Decisions: A Framework for Combining Measures. Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice, 22: 32-41. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3992.2003.tb00126.x>
- Christenson, S., Reschly, A., & Wylie, C. (2012). Handbook of research on student engagement. Boston, MA: Springer.
- De Smet, A., Lurie, M., & St. George, A. (2018). Leading agile transformation: The new capabilities leaders need to build 21st-century organizations, McKinsey & Company, Retrieved from <https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/business%20functions/organization/our%20insights/leading%20a%20gile%20transformation%20the%20new%20capabilities%20leaders%20need%20to%20build/leading-agile-transformation-the-new-capabilities-leaders-need-to-build-21st-century-organizations.pdf>
- Dulak, J., Domitrovich, C., Weissberg, R., & Gullotta, T. (2015). Handbook of social and emotional learning: Research and practice. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Elgart, M. (2015). What a continuously improving system looks like. Alpharetta, GA: AdvancED. Retrieved from <https://source.cognia.org/issue-article/what-continuously-improving-system-looks/>
- Elgart, M. (2017). Meeting the promise of continuous improvement: Insights from the AdvancED continuous improvement system and observations of effective schools. Alpharetta, GA: AdvancED. Retrieved from <http://www.advanc-ed.org/sites/default/files/CISWhitePaper.pdf>
- Ford, T., Lavigne, A., Fiegenger, A., & Si, S. (2020). Understanding district support for leader development and success in the accountability era: A review of the literature using social-cognitive theories of motivation. Review of Educational Research: 90 (2).
- Fullan, M. (2014). Leading in a culture of change personal action guide and workbook. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Fullan, M. & Quinn, J. (2016) Coherence: The right drivers in action for schools, districts, and systems. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Hamedani, M. G., Zheng, X., Darling-Hammond, L., Andree, A., & Quinn, B. (2015). Social emotional learning in high school: How three urban high schools engage, educate, and empower youth—Cross-case analysis.
- Haladyna, T. & Hess, R. (1999) An Evaluation of Conjunctive and Compensatory Standard-Setting Strategies for Test Decisions, Educational Assessment, 6:2, 129-153, DOI: 10.1207/S15326977EA0602_03
- Hargreaves, A., & Fink, D. (2006). Sustainable leadership. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Hitt, D. H., & Tucker, P. D. (2016). Systematic review of key leader practices found to influence student achievement. Review of Educational Research, 86(2), 531-569. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654315614911>
- Jaeger, Richard M. (1991). A comparison of compensatory, conjunctive, and disjunctive models for weighing attributes of school quality. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association. (Chicago, IL, April 3-7, 1991)

- Kim, W., & Mauborne, R. (2017). *Blue ocean shift: Beyond competing*. New York: Hachette Book Group.
- Park, S, Hironaka, S; Carver, P, & Nordstrum, L. (2013). *Continuous improvement in education*. San Francisco: Carnegie Foundation. Retrieved from https://www.carnegiefoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/carnegie-foundation_continuous-improvement_2013.05.pdf
- Schein, E. (1985). *Organizational culture and leadership*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Von Bertalanffy, L. (1968). *General systems theory*. New York: George Braziller, Inc.
- Stanford, CA: Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education.
- Rotherham, A., & Willingham, D. (2010). 21st century skills: Not new but a worthy challenge. *American Educator*, Spring, 17-20. Retrieved from <https://bellwethereducation.org/publication/21st-century-skills%E2%80%99-not-new-worthy-challenge>
- Thompson, C. S. (2017). Teachers' expectations of educational leaders' leadership approach and perspectives on the principalship: Identifying critical leadership paradigms for the 21st century," *Journal of Organizational & Educational Leadership*: 2 (2).